Strategy Group: STUDENT EXPERIENCE

Narrative

A. Recommendation 1: Increase the sense of community by expanding the amount of space on campus that is available to students for socializing, studying together, interacting with faculty and staff, or just “hanging out.”

Stakeholders tell us that there is a dearth of informal gathering places on campus – areas where students can study, relax between classes, chat with faculty, etc. The spaces that are available, such as the University Center Starbucks/Chik-fil-A area, tend to be very crowded and loud from Monday through Thursday. At the same time, there are substantial empty spaces in various buildings that are utilized only sparingly. The lobby of the Public Policy Building is one example. Empty spaces like this could be furnished with tables and chairs, so that students would have more places to gather.

B. Recommendation 2: Energetically promote campus activities and events – athletic, social, cultural, performing arts, etc. – in a holistic, comprehensive, campus-wide fashion.

Although there is a lot going on at UMBC stakeholders report that they often “don’t know what’s going on” around campus. Many students seem not to get the word about athletic events; theater, dance, and musical performances; arts exhibits; speakers; cultural festivals; movie screenings; and other activities and events. Decentralized communication about events and activities, lack of big time athletics and a college town adjacent to campus lead to the perception that there is a “lack of things to do” especially on weekends.

C. Recommendation 3: Systematically improve the quality and consistency of academic advising and mentoring of students.

Stakeholders say that advising and mentoring of students is uneven. Some areas of the advising community get high marks, such as orientation advising. Some academic departments reportedly do an excellent job of curricular advising and mentoring of students headed to graduate school, into the job market or participating in research. However, other academic departments have a reputation for doing poorly in these vital areas. Not all units embrace and/or utilize online advising tools. In short, the quality of advising and mentoring seems to vary widely by unit, as well as by individual.

D. Recommendation 4: Adopt a more effective approach to course and classroom planning so as to improve the delivery of high-quality education and shorten students’ “time to graduation.”

Stakeholders observe that it can be difficult to meet curriculum requirements and make steady progress through their degree programs. Roughly 80% of students say that they have been
unable to register for required courses because they are either full or not offered. About 40% of students have not been able to take a course because of the time it is offered. Some 20% of incoming students say they are neutral, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with their academic schedule. Undergraduate program directors report problems scheduling classes because of the interdependency of curricula, conflicts between required courses, and limited classroom availability. Graduate students report conflicts with timing of course registration, billing cycle and graduate student stipends as a deterrent to early registration. Lack of early registration numbers result in some required/needed courses to be cancelled.

E. Recommendation 5: Leverage the strength of UMBC’s compositional diversity by increasing the cultural and global competencies of all students.

Students cite UMBC’s compositional diversity as an attraction for recruitment and admission. Students report that there is a lack of meaningful interaction and learning that takes place between themselves and those who are different. Student have a hard time articulating and demonstrating how the compositional diversity adds to their experience at UMBC. An intentional campus-wide approach, through curriculum and out of classroom experiences would assist students to learn more from one of the untapped resource, compositional diversity, on campus. As well, an increase in cultural and global competence would enhance students’ career and workforce skills.

F. Recommendation 6: Students report a high level of well-Being and pride

Compared to national college student health data, students at UMBC report high levels of stress, anxiety and overwhelm which impact their academic success, academic efficacy and career outlook. The emotional and behavioral health of the campus student population needs help. Campus-wide initiatives to enhance and support students’ emotional and behavioral health need to be systematically studied and a comprehensive plan and resources developed and implemented.

G. Recommendation 7: Develop a campus culture that creates, supports and expects applied learning experiences which accommodate all types of students in a wide variety of options, such as internship/cooperative education, research, service-learning, civic engagement, performance, leadership, teaching assistants, etc.

The 2014 Gallup-Purdue Index Report, applied research studies from the National Association of Colleges and Employers and the Campus Mental Health Primer from the American Council on Education all indicate that applied learning is a meaningful part of college students’ education. Developing a campus culture that intentionally creates and supports applied learning experiences with a reflective component will likely enhance a student’s overall experience in college. With appropriate craftsmanship these experiences create relationships between faculty, staff and students; enhance engagement; increase satisfaction and mentoring opportunities. These experiences often times lead to career opportunities.
H. Recommendation 8: High levels of satisfaction with hours, availability and responsiveness of campus services.

Students report inconsistent responsiveness from personnel in campus departments and services. Additionally, students report wanting expanded hours of operation for some campus services, including but not limited to dining, Bookstore, the Commons and the RAC. Students who come to campus for evening classes or weekend research, etc. find it difficult to find services after 4:30pm and on the weekends (dining variety is limited). Students also suggested that dining retail should extend past the Commons and University Center into some classroom buildings (similar to what is housed in the library). Additionally, feedback from faculty, staff and students report frustration about the lack of social and retail opportunity next to campus (a college town). Development of these establishments next to campus would create an opportunity for people to gather locally near campus. The lack of retail (i.e. casual dining) next to campus does not promote the campus community and visitors to take advantage of campus events and athletics offerings in the evenings and on weekends.

Summary of Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholders were engaged through focus groups, interviews, individual conversations, campus survey and meetings. The following is a list of the UMBC stakeholders that were engaged.

Admissions and Orientation
Advising office
Affiliated students
Alumni
Athletes and Athletics
Commuter students
Counseling Center
CWIT
Diversity Council
Employers (Fall 2014 Job Fair)
Facilities Management
Faculty
Full-time students
Graduate program directors
Graduate students
Graduate Student Association
International students
Office of Undergraduate Education
Meyerhoff Scholars Office
Mosaic Center staff
Phi Mu Sorority
Office for Academic and Pre-Professional Advising
Parents
Part-time students
Provost’s office
Psychology Department’s Ethnic & Cultural Diversity Committee
Registrar
Residential Life
Residential students
Shady Grove students
Student Government Association
Student Life
Student organizations
Transfer students
University Health Services
Undergraduate program directors
Undergraduate students
Vice President for Student Affairs
Vice Provost for Academic Affairs
Women’s Center

Members of the Student Experience Strategy Group

Ken Baron, Craig Berger, Jessica Carrick ’10, Devin Hagerty, Tanvi Gadhia ’09, Keith Harmon, Bruce Herman, Romy Hubler ’09, ’11, William Klotz, ’14, Kim Leisey, Tahira Mahdi ’10, ’14, Michael Moubarek ’15, Neil Rothman, Christine Routzahn, Anne Rubin, Bryan Wilkinson ’09, Ae Lim (Ally) Yang ’17

Appendices

A. Baseline Data in Box
Years Enrolled: advising (4), background info, campus facilities and services, gender ethnicity, innovative teaching and applied learning

Grad vs. Undergrad: advising (4), campus facilities and services

First Yr vs. Transfer: advising (4), background info, campus facilities and services, gender ethnicity, innovative teaching and applied learning, diversity

Know Advisor-Advising Correlation

Campus Outreach Discussion Notes

Student Experience report 2014 Strategic Planning Survey

Interactive Gallery Covision Data

Leadership Retreat

Mentoring and Advising

SGA Senate Meeting

Shady Grove Focus Group

Student Interactions Matrix

Retreat Report Covision

Student Experience Advising Focus Group Notes

Student Campus Experience Focus Group Notes

Applied Learning Focus Group Notes

Intercept Interviews with individuals

“Who Gets to Graduate” NY Times article

“Service: A Collision of Intentions, Thoughts and Avatars” (Pinhein) “Life In College Matters”- Gallup Purdue Study

Gallup Purdue Index Report

Focus Groups (GSA, athletes, transfer students, commuters, alumni, athletes, residential students, affiliated students, Hillel students, staff)
Other data consulted that is not in BOX: focus groups with undergraduate and graduate program directors; IRADS data; REX data; UMBC Progress Report on Institutional Programs of Cultural Diversity (04/02/14); orientation advising evaluation (Summer 2014); Office of Institutional Research SCEQ data; Foundations Group data; Clery Report, 2013; 2014 Student Involvement and Leadership Survey; 2012 Commuter and Off-Campus Student Experience Survey; 2013 National College Health Assessment; 2013 National Survey of Student Engagement; 2013-2014 Alcohol Edu Executive Summary 2014 Residential Life EBI data (in process); Shriver and Career Center practicum data on applied learning; UMBC’s Sophomore Success Committee recommendations

B. Process Reflections

1. Did we really hear from the “average” UMBC community-member?

Inclusion of more and a wide variety of student voices would be helpful in the overall process- for all strategy groups.

Lots of time and energy were spent trying to include faculty “leadership” voices and it seemed that the same people tended to be involved. The effort yielded the ability to say they were included but the information garnered did not provide new insights and fresh perspectives.

Inclusion of more exempt and non-exempt staff voices (admin/finance, enrollment management and student affairs) would be helpful in the overall process-for all strategy groups.

2. The Student Experience Group captured a lot of information on issues that deserve attention and don’t necessarily rise to the level of strategic planning. How do we get these items accounted for and attended to?

3. Having an enrollment context for the next strategic plan would be helpful to planning. Although this question was asked it has never been addressed.

4. One of the issues that was brought up early in the process was the whole question of secession planning. This was never addressed and is still very much out there and on people’s minds.

5. Work groups, including foundations group, worked very independently from each other and there was never a time to share, discuss and integrate groups’ work prior to the final reports being submitted.
6. Finding a way to provide meaningful recognition the people who served on work groups is important.

7. Initial idea of having people state whether or not they were willing to serve as a part of “focus groups” was a good one but it wasn’t well utilized resulting in people feeling left out.